



WILTSHIRE POLICE

Force Disclosure Unit

Police Headquarters
London Road
DEVIZES
Wiltshire
SN10 2DN

Date: 28th July 2026

Ref: FOI 2026/638

I write in connection with your request for information dated 09/07/2026 concerning Horus.

I am required by the Freedom of Information Act 2000 to handle all requests in a manner that is blind as to the identity and motives of the requestor. Any information released as a response to a request is regarded as being published and therefore in the public domain without caveat.

You wrote:

Information

1. Yes or no - has your force shared intelligence with Horus Security Consultancy Ltd since February 2022?
2. If the answer Q1 is yes, has this included intelligence about:
 - a. Protest activity
 - b. Student protest activity
3. Yes or no - has your force received intelligence from Horus Security Consultancy Ltd since February 2022?
4. If the answer to Q3 is yes, has this included intelligence about:
 - a. Protest activity
 - b. Student protest activity
5. How much money have you paid Horus Security Consultancy Limited since February 2022, broken down by what services were paid for (e.g. insight intelligence services, DBS checks)?
6. Copies of any contracts you hold with Horus Security Consultancy Limited
7. Copies of any Information Sharing Agreements and Data Protection Impact Assessment you hold with Horus Security Consultancy Limited

Our response:

Proud to serve and protect our communities

Wiltshire Police can neither confirm nor deny that it holds any information in relation to this request as the duty in S1(1)(a) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 does not apply, by virtue of the following exemptions:

- Section 31(3) Law Enforcement

Section 31 is a qualified, prejudice-based exemption and requires evidence of harm and a public interest test to be carried out before it can be relied upon.

Harm – Section 31(3) Law Enforcement

Confirming or denying whether the Force holds information relevant to this request would, in itself, reveal whether the Force has, or has had, an intelligence-sharing relationship with a named third-party organisation. The request is specifically focussed on the sharing and receipt of intelligence, together with associated contracts, payments, information sharing agreements and data protection documentation. Confirmation would disclose that such a relationship exists, whilst denial would disclose that it does not.

Whether or not a police force maintains an intelligence-sharing relationship with a particular external organisation is capable of revealing information concerning intelligence capabilities, operational relationships, and the means by which information is obtained, developed and shared. Disclosure of this information would provide insight into policing intelligence arrangements and could be used to build a broader understanding of how police forces engage with third-party intelligence and security providers.

Furthermore, responses from individual forces could be compared and aggregated, alongside information already available in the public domain, to identify patterns, relationships and differences in policing intelligence arrangements across the United Kingdom. This mosaic effect would enable conclusions to be drawn regarding the existence or otherwise of specific intelligence-sharing relationships and would undermine the ability of policing to conduct its law enforcement functions effectively. Such an outcome would be likely to prejudice the prevention and detection of crime and the apprehension or prosecution of offenders.

Public Interest Test

Factors favouring confirmation or denial - There is a public interest in transparency and accountability regarding relationships between police forces and external organisations. Confirming or denying whether information is held would assist public understanding of how policing obtains and shares information and would contribute to informed public debate regarding intelligence handling, governance arrangements and the use of public funds.

There is also a public interest in providing reassurance that appropriate safeguards, oversight and governance arrangements exist where police forces engage with external organisations in matters relating to intelligence and information sharing.

Factors favouring neither confirming nor denying - There is a significant public interest in protecting the ability of policing to prevent and detect crime and maintain effective law enforcement capabilities. Confirming or denying whether information is held would reveal information concerning intelligence-sharing arrangements and operational relationships with named third parties.

Proud to serve and protect our communities

The request is clearly directed towards establishing whether police forces maintain an intelligence-sharing relationship with a named intelligence and security provider. Confirmation or denial would therefore disclose information about policing intelligence arrangements which could be used to build a broader picture of operational capabilities and methods of information acquisition and development.

There is also a strong public interest in preventing incremental disclosures through the mosaic effect. Responses from different police forces could be compared and analysed, allowing conclusions to be drawn regarding the existence or absence of intelligence-sharing relationships. Similarly, confirming or denying the existence of associated contracts, payments, information sharing agreements or DPIAs would reveal information capable of undermining the NCND position and would disclose the very information that the exemption seeks to protect.

There is a strong public interest in ensuring that policing intelligence capabilities, operational relationships and information sharing arrangements remain effective and are not undermined through the disclosure of sensitive law enforcement information.

Balance Test

Whilst the Force recognises the public interest in openness, transparency and accountability concerning relationships with external organisations, greater weight must be afforded to the public interest in protecting policing intelligence capabilities and law enforcement functions. Confirming or denying whether information is held would reveal information regarding intelligence-sharing relationships and operational arrangements with a named third party. Such information, particularly when combined with information obtained from other forces or sources, would enable conclusions to be drawn about policing intelligence activity and capabilities.

The Force therefore considers that the potential prejudice to law enforcement outweighs the benefits of increased transparency and, accordingly, the balance of the public interest favours maintaining the neither confirm nor deny position under Section 31(3) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Section 17 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 requires the Wiltshire Police, when refusing to provide such information (because the information is exempt) to provide you the applicant with a notice which: (a) states that fact, (b) specifies the exemption in question and (c) states (if that would not otherwise be apparent) why the exemption applies.

The exemption applicable to the information requested is:

- Section 31(3) Law Enforcement

In accordance with Section 17 of the Act, this letter represents a Refusal Notice for this particular request.

I am satisfied that all the relevant information has been passed to me and been considered in the light of your request within the time constraints applicable under the legislation.

Wiltshire Police would like to thank you for the interest that you have shown in the Force.

Proud to serve and protect our communities

Yours sincerely

Force Disclosure Decision Maker

Wiltshire Police offer a re-examination of your case under its review procedure.



Force Disclosure Unit

Wiltshire Police HQ, London Road, Devizes, Wiltshire SN10 2DN
Freedom of Information Request Appeals Procedure

1. Who Can Ask for a Review

Proud to serve and protect our communities

Any person who has requested information from Wiltshire Police, which has been dealt with under the Freedom of Information Act, is entitled to complain and request an internal review, if they are dissatisfied with the response they received.

2. How to Request a Review

Requests for review of a Freedom of Information request must be made in writing to the:

Force Disclosure Unit
Wiltshire Police Headquarters,
London Road, Devizes,
Wiltshire,
SN10 2DN

Email at disclosure@wiltshire.police.uk.

The reference number, date of the request and details of why the review is being requested must be included. Requests for review should be brought to the attention of the Force Disclosure Unit within 20 working days of the Force's response to the original FOI request.

3. Review Procedure

Receipt of a request for review will be acknowledged in writing to include confirmation of the reasons for the review. The review will be conducted by another Decision Maker, who is independent from the original Decision Maker. The Force Disclosure Unit will set a target date for a response. The response will be made as soon as is practicable with the intention to complete the review within twenty working days. In more complex cases the review may take up to 40 working days.

The Independent Decision Maker will conduct a review of the handling of the request for information and of decisions taken, including decisions taken about where the public interest lies in respect of exempt information where applicable. The review enables a re-evaluation of the case, taking into account the matters raised by the complaint.

4. Conclusion of the Appeal

On completion of the review the Independent Decision Maker will reply to the complainant with the result of the review. If the complainant is still dissatisfied following the review, they should contact the Information Commissioner to make an appeal. The Information Commissioner can be contacted via the following details:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF
Tel: 01625 545 700
Fax: 01625 524 510
Email: mail@ico.gsi.gov.uk

Proud to serve and protect our communities