

**Police Headquarters**

London Road

DEVIZES

Wiltshire

SN10 2DN

disclosure@wiltshire.police.uk

Date: June 12, 2023

Your ref:

Our ref: FOI 2022/928

Reply contact name is:

Dear,

I write in connection with your request for information dated 22nd November 2022.

I am required by the Freedom of Information Act 2000 to handle all requests in a manner that is blind as to the identity and motives of the requestor. Any information released as a response to a request is regarded as being published and therefore in the public domain without caveat.

Your request for information has now been considered and I can respond as follows.

You Wrote:

I wish to submit to the organisation a freedom of information request relating to the organisation's ICT contracts, specifically around:

1. **contact centre contract(s)**
2. **inbound network services contract (s)**

Please send me the following information for each provider:

1. Incumbent Supplier: For each of the contract(s) please can you provide me with the supplier of the contract.
2. Annual Average Spend: For each supplier, please state the annual average (over 3 years) spend for each supplier
3. Contract Duration: For each supplier, please state the contract duration of the contract expires. If available please also include any contract extensions.
4. Contract Expiry: For each supplier, please state the date of when the contract expires.
5. Contract Review: For each supplier, please state the date of when the contract will be reviewed.

Proud to serve and protect our communities

6. Contract Description: For each supplier, please state a brief description of the services provided of the overall contract.
7. Contact Details: For each supplier, please state the person from within the organisation responsible for the contract. Please provide me with their full name, actual job title, contact number and direct email address. At the very least please provide me with their actual job title.
8. Number of Agents; please provide me with the total number of contact centre agents;
9. Number of Sites; please can you provide me with the number of sites the contact centre covers.
10. Manufacturer of the contact centre: Who is the manufacturer of the contact centre system that you operate?
11. Do you use Microsoft Exchange 2003 as your email server? If not, then which products do you use?
12. Number of email users: Approximate number of email users across the organisations.

The second part of my request relates to the use inbound network services contracts which could relate to one of the following:

1. 0800, 0845, 0870, 0844, 0300 number
2. Routing of calls
3. Caller Identifier
4. Caller Profile- linking caller details with caller records
5. Interactive voice response (IVR)

For a contract relating to the above please can you provide me with?

1. Incumbent Supplier: For each of the contract(s) please can you provide me with the supplier of the contract.
2. Annual Average Spend: For each supplier, please state the annual average (over 3 years) spend for each supplier
3. Contract Expiry: For each supplier, please state the date of when the contract expires.
4. Contract Review: For each supplier, please state the date of when the contract will be reviewed.
5. Contract Description: For each supplier, please state a brief description of the services provided of the overall contract.
6. Contact Details: For each supplier, please state the person from within the organisation responsible for the contract. Please provide me with their full name, actual job title, contact number and direct email address.

-- Reg. Email server name; Please can you take this request for internal review, as I don't think his information request falls under any exemption, other organisations are sending this information. In order to exempt this information you must be able to show how the release of this information could have a negative impact on the day to day business of the organisation.

-- Please share the job title and contact number of the contract owner, which does not fall under any exemption.

Response:

As you were provided with a full response to your other questions, I am only reviewing Part One Questions Seven and Eleven and Part Two Question Six.

On reviewing your original Freedom of Information request, the response provided to you and your request for an Internal Review, I can respond as follows.

I do not uphold Part One Question Seven and Part Two Question Six of the original response and can confirm:

Justin Buszard – Network & Telephony Operations Manager –
Justin.buszard@wiltshire.police.uk

In regards to Part One Question Eleven, I uphold the original response provided to you and confirm that Wiltshire Police can neither confirm nor deny that information is held as the duty in Section 1(1)(a) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 does not apply by virtue of the following exemptions:

Section 24(2) – National Security

Section 31(3) – Law Enforcement

Both are prejudice based and qualified exemptions, therefore both the evidence of harm and public interest considerations need to be articulated to the applicant.

Overall Harm in Confirming or Denying that Information is Held

To confirm or deny whether Wiltshire Police uses a particular version of named software would identify vulnerable computer systems and provide actual knowledge, or not, that this software is used within individual force areas. In addition, this would have a large impact on the effective delivery of operational law enforcement as it would leave forces open to cyberattack, which could render computer devices obsolete.

This type of information would be extremely beneficial to offenders, including terrorists. It is vitally important that information sharing takes place with other police forces and security bodies within the UK to support counter-terrorism measures in the fight to deprive terrorist networks of their ability to commit crime.

To confirm or deny whether or not Wiltshire Police relies on a specific version of named software and applications would be extremely useful to those involved in terrorist activity as it would enable them to map vulnerable security databases.

Public Interest Test

Section 24 – National Security

Factors Favouring Complying with Section 1(1)(a) Confirming that Information is Held

The public are entitled to know how public funds are spent and how resources are distributed within an area of policing. To confirm whether Wiltshire Police uses a specific version of a named application or software product would enable the general public to hold Wiltshire Police to account by highlighting forces which use out of date software. In the current financial climate of cuts and with the call for transparency of public spending, this would enable improved public debate into this subject.

Factors Against Complying with Section 1(1)(a) Confirming or Denying that Information is Held

Security measures are put in place to protect the community we serve. As evidenced within the harm, to confirm information is held would highlight to terrorists and individuals intent on carrying out criminal activities vulnerabilities within Wiltshire Police.

Taking into account the current security climate within the United Kingdom, no information (such as citing an exemption which confirms information is held, or conversely stating no information held) which may aid a terrorist should be disclosed. To what extent this information may aid a terrorist is unknown, but it is clear that it will have an impact on a force's ability to monitor terrorist activity.

Irrespective of what information is or isn't held, the public entrust the police service to make appropriate decisions with regards to their safety and protection and the only way of reducing risk is to be cautious with what is placed into the public domain.

The cumulative effect of terrorists gathering information from various sources would be even more impactful when linked to other information gathered from various sources about terrorism. The more information disclosed over time will give a more detailed account of the tactical infrastructure of not only a force area, but also the country as a whole.

Any incident that results from such as disclosure would, by default, affect national security.

Section 31 – Law Enforcement

Factors Favouring Complying with Section 1(1)(a) Confirming that Information is Held

Confirming that information exists relevant to this request would lead to a better-informed public, which may encourage individuals to provide intelligence in order to reduce the risk of police networks being hacked.

Factors Against Complying with Section 1(1)(a) Neither Confirming nor Denying that Information is Held

Confirmation or denial that information is held in this case would suggest Wiltshire Police take their responsibility to protect information and information systems from unauthorised access, destruction etc... dismissively and inappropriately.

Proud to serve and protect our communities

Balance Test

The points above highlight the merits of confirming or denying that the requested information exists. Overall, the police service is charged with enforcing the law, preventing and detecting crime and protecting the communities we serve. As part of that policing purpose, information is gathered which can be highly sensitive relating to high profile investigative activity.

Weakening the mechanisms used to monitor any type of criminal activity and specifically terrorist activity would place the security of the country at an increased level of danger.

In addition, anything that places that confidence at risk, no matter how generic, would undermine any trust and confidence individuals have in the police service. Therefore, at this moment in time, it is our opinion that for these issues the balance test favours neither confirming nor denying that information is held.

No inference should be taken that the requested information is or is not held.

Section 17 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 requires Wiltshire Police, when refusing to provide information (because the information is exempt) to provide you the applicant with a notice which: (a) states that fact, (b) specifies the exemption in question and (c) states (if that would not otherwise be apparent) why the exemption applies. In accordance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000 this letter acts as a Refusal Notice for those aspects of your request.

Exemptions applied:

Section 24(2) – National Security

Section 31(3) – Law Enforcement

Please contact me if you would like to discuss the withheld information.

Yours sincerely,



The Wiltshire Police offers a re-examination of your case under its review procedure.



Force Disclosure Unit

Wiltshire Police HQ, London Road, Devizes, Wiltshire SN10 2DN

Freedom of Information Request Appeals Procedure

1. Who Can Ask for a Review

Any person who has requested information from Wiltshire Police, which has been dealt with under the Freedom of Information Act, is entitled to complain and request an internal review, if they are dissatisfied with the response they received.

2. How to Request a Review

Requests for review of a Freedom of Information request must be made in writing to the:
Force Disclosure Unit
Wiltshire Police Headquarters,
London Road, Devizes,
Wiltshire,
SN10 2DN

Email at disclosure@wiltshire.police.uk.

The reference number, date of the request and details of why the review is being requested must be included. Requests for review should be brought to the attention of the Force Disclosure Unit within 20 working days of the Force's response to the original FoI request.

3. Review Procedure

Receipt of a request for review will be acknowledged in writing to include confirmation of the reasons for the review. The review will be conducted by another Decision Maker, who is independent from the original Decision Maker. The Force Disclosure Unit will set a target date for a response. The response will be made as soon as is practicable with the intention to complete the review within twenty working days. In more complex cases the review may take up to 40 working days.

The Independent Decision Maker will conduct a review of the handling of the request for information and of decisions taken, including decisions taken about where the public interest lies in respect of exempt information where applicable. The review enables a re-evaluation of the case, taking into account the matters raised by the complaint.

4. Conclusion of the Appeal

On completion of the review the Independent Decision Maker will reply to the complainant with the result of the review. If the complainant is still dissatisfied following the review they should contact the Information Commissioner to make an appeal. The Information Commissioner can be contacted via the following details:

Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF
Tel: 01625 545 700
Fax: 01625 524 510
Email: mail@ico.gsi.gov.uk

Please note that the ICO's offices will be closed for the foreseeable future and are therefore unable to receive correspondence via post.

If you should wish you contact them, please visit <https://ico.org.uk/global/contact-us/>